





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexándria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE			MATERIA DE LA CASA DE		
1.35	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/635,524	08/09/2000	Hiroyuki Takahashi	P19483	5635		
*	7590 03/25/2004		EXAMI	NER		
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			LEE, CHRISTOPHER E			
RESTON, VA		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2112	10		
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004	10		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

Applicati n N . Applicant(s) 09/635,524 TAKAHASHI, HIROYUKI Intervi w Summary Examin r Art Unit Christopher E. Lee 2112 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Christopher E. Lee (USPTO). (3) John Hilten (Reg. No. 52518). (2) Sumati Lefkowitz (USPTO). (4) . Date of Interview: 23 March 2004. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: ____ Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: none. Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \boxtimes was not reached. h) \square N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

SUMATI LEFKOWITZ PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the invention, and the claim rejection 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, scope enablement problem on Claim 1. The Examiner explained the claim 1 rejection based on 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, and the Applicant's representative, Mr. John Hilten, explained the scope of the claim 1, especially focused on the claim language "virtually revise" in the claim, and will amend the claim with a further limited claim language in order to make enable the scope of the claimed invention. The Examiner expects to receive the amendment and will conduct updated search after receiving the amendment.

NEIL F. CREENBLUM BRUCE H, BERNSTEIN JAMES L. ROWLAND ARNOLD TURK

ARNOLD TURK
MICHAEL J. FINK
STRVEN WEGMANLESLIE J. PAFERNERWILLIAM PIEPRZSTEPHEN M. ROYLANCE
ROBENT W. MUELLER
JILJ M. BROWNING
ALAN M. LENKINWILLIAM E. LYDDANR
WILLIAM S. BOSHNICKPAUL A. BRAIFR, Ph.D.
P. BRANKO PEJIC-

HERIBERT F. MUENSTERRR, Ph.D.GO

P. BRANKO PEJIC JESSICA IL TRAN-

JOHN PRETA

VAN C. ERNEST SEAN E. RYDER LINDA J. HODGE Joshua M. Povsnek.

HUNG J. XU

LAW OFFICES

GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.
PATENT, COPYRIGHT AND TRADEMARK CAUSES
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE
RESTON, VA 20191
TEL: (703)716-1191
PAX: (703)716-1180
EMAIL: shuntenförhmeinnt-cam EMAIL: gbputent@gbputent.com

CLARK W. MARTINO DANIEL B. MOON HANNO RITTNER BRIAN C. CAREY JOIIN V. MAZZOLAD CAITLIN LHOMMEDIBU JOHN HILTEN

OF COUNSEL: OLIVER R. ASHE, JR. BRUCE H. STONER, JR.

TECHNICAL ADVISORS: TU ANH PHAN, Ph.D. KATKIN VENTER, Ph.D. DONALD SCALTRITO, Ph.D.

*ADMITTED TO A BAR OTHER THAN VA OEUROPPAN PATENT ATTORNEY OKOREAN PATENT ATTORNEY DREGISTERED PATENT AGENT

FACSIMILE COVER LETTER *******

From: GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.

Date: March 5, 2004

To:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Attn:

Examiner C. Lee

File No.:

P19483 - Appln. No. 09/635,524

Telefax Number:

703**-**746-9248

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES, INCLUDING COVER LETTER: 2

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CONTACT US:

TELEPHONE:

(703) 716-1191

FAX:

(703)716-1180

The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain information from the law firm of GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. which is confidential and/or privileged. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this facsimile information is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone at (703) 716-1191 immediately so that we can arrange for the retrieval of the original documents at no cost to you. *******************

PTOL-413A (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form								
Application No.: 09/6 Examiner: C. LEE		Named Applicant Art Unit: 211		: Application: Now-	Final			
Tentative Participants (1) John HILTEN	8: (RIN 52518	(2)						
(3)		(4)						
Proposed Date of Interview: 3/16/2001 Proposed Time: 9:00 (ADI/PM) Type of Interview Requested: 3/23/04								
Type of Interview Rec (1) [v] Telephonic		<u> </u>	Video Conference	Device an ast				
Exhibit To Be Shown If yes, provide brief de								
Issues To Be Discussed								
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	d Agreed	Not Agreed			
(1) Rej. 35 USC 112(1)	1-7	na	[]	[]	[]			
(2)			[]	[]	[]			
(3)			[1	[]	[]			
(4)			[]	[]	[]			
[] Continuation Sheet	Attached							
Brief Description of A	rguments to b	c Presented:						
Applicant's rep	ocesentative	2 w:11 pr	osde an ex	rplanation of regurements of	how			
and where	the 5	oecification	meets the	regarements of	25 USC 112(1)			
An interview was cond	ducted on the	above-identified	application on					
NOTE: This form should be con § 713.01). This application will not interview. Therefore, ap as soon as possible.	t be delayed from	m issue because of	f applicant's failure to	o submit a written rec	cord of this EFR 1.133(b))			
(Applicant/Applicant's	Representative	Signature)	(Examiner/SPE Si					

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark filee, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DON T SEND FEES R COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.